

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,447	03/29/2004	Matthew Baker	293/059	4689
1473	7590 10/17/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP			POUS, NATALIE R	
ROPES & GRAY LLP 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS FL C3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK	I, NY 10020-1105		3731	•
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A P4: N-	A			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/813,447	BAKER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Natalie Pous	3731			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHICH - Extension - after SIX - If NO per - Failure to	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In the specified above, the maximum statutory period we or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ma	arch 2004.				
2a) <u></u> ⊤	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)∐ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
cl	osed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	n of Claims					
4)⊠ C	laim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.					
4a	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□ C	laim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□ C	laim(s) is/are rejected.					
•	laim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ C	laim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application	n Papers					
9)□ Th	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·				
10) 🔲 Th	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Α	pplicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correctine oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) 🗌 Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2	. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No			
3	. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	* **	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			•			
Attachment/s						
Attachment(s	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte			
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Io(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-16, drawn to subcombination, classified in class 606, subclass
 153.
- II. Claims 21-25, drawn to combination, classified in class 606, subclass 139.
- III. Claims 27-33, drawn to method of use, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not require an inside or outside retention element. The subcombination has separate utility such as without a delivery tool.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in

Art Unit: 3731

accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions (I and II) and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process can be preformed with a materially different apparatus. The method claims do not require an annular inside retention element or outside retention element as required by the apparatus and thus could be performed with a materially different apparatus.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,447

Art Unit: 3731

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Natalie Pous whose telephone number is (571) 272-6140. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am-5:30pm, off every 2nd Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/813,447 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NRP 10/9/06

ANHTUAN'T. NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER